

Introduction

Researchers around the world are creating the technologies to produce efficient and robust stretchable and wearable electronics. For example, a new method is recently developed to create stretchable transistor arrays for wearable applications [1].







transistor array attached to bent stretchable sensor attached to human

Intrinsically stretchable transistor

Still, many aspects of designing a fully stretchable circuit are challenging. For example, conductors and semi-conductors are usually much stiffer than the substrate and have lower stretchability. This means that the elastic substrate has to absorb most of the mechanical strain in order to protect electronic components. The location of the components and their distance has a big effect on the performance of the device. In general, large substrate and greater distance between electronic components would result in better stretchability of the whole system. However, electronic circuits need to be compact and space efficient. Also large distance between component increase the length of the interconnects and increases internal resistance of the device. It is generally hard to balance the need for stretchability, space-efficiency, and better electrical properties.







Minimize overal device size

Optimization using particle swarm

In general, optimization refers to the methods for finding design variable that minimize a give function, called the objective function. In addition to the objective function, the optimization can restrict the possible values of the design variables. These restrictions are called constraints. The concept of the objective function and the constraints can be seen for one dimensional and two dimensional cases.





one-dimensional objective function with constraints



two-dimensional objective function

Optimization Methods for Designing Wearable Devices

Reza Rastak & Christian Linder



two-dimensional objective function with constraints

Particle swarm optimization is well-known general optimization methods. It has lter = 2 inspired from the collective brain of small insects such as ants and bees. A swarm of particle are chosen each represent a design. The particles compute the objective function and check for the constraints. At each step, the particle that finds the best response communicates this information to other particles. Each particle uses the 2 swarm information and the information from its memory at previous step to 6 8 10 12 calculate its next trajectory. The particles eventually converge to the global optimal The evolution of the best design for (from left to right) iteration 1, iteration 2, and iteration 37 point of the domain even if there are multiple local minima. **Finite element simulation 4**; During optimization, at every step and for each particle, a two dimensional finite toward the local best position element simulation is performed. Using the geometry of the device, a quadrilateral mesh is create with the software **CUBIT**. The resulting mesh is then supplied to 3 4 5 the finite element code **DealFEM**. This program is able to produce accurate particle swarm iteration 10 calculation for next step particle swarm iteration 5 particle swarm iteration 1 simulations with local mesh refinement as seen in the next figures. The **neo-hookean** material model is used to produce these results. In this study: • **Design variables**: The dimensions of the circuit board and the location of every component. • **Objective function**: We optimize the circuits to have the minimum surface Strain = 20%Strain = 0%Strain = 40%area. • constraints: We ensure that each component does not exceed its mechanical damage limit and they don't physically overlap Results Strain = 60%Strain = 80%To demonstrate the capabilities of the optimization method we chose three components with the following properties. ılus (E) Max. strain color Strain = 100%10 % The strain contour in the material 20 % Future work 20 % There are many future directions for this optimization study. • Test the optimized designed with real materials. The results show that the algorithm is able to move components to their optimal • Improve the efficiency of the optimization algorithm location and gradually reduce the size of the total device. The objective function • Develop a analytical theory about stretchability optimization. (area) decreases continuously until it becomes stable. As seen in the figures, • Extend to three dimensional geometries. individual designs may be faulty for having component overlap, but the best designs always perform good. The finite element simulation is only run for the components References without any overlap. [1] S. Wang et al. (2018). *Nature* 555(7694):83-88. 47.5 [2] J. Kennedy & R. Eberhart (1995). Proc. Int. Jt. Conf. Neural Netw. IEEE 15 -45.0 3 1 4:1942-1948. ≻ 10 -42.5 [3] C. H. Li et al. (2016). *Nat. Chem.* 8:618-624. 40.0 [4] J. Xu et al. (2017). *Science* 355(6320):59-64. α 37.5 -





| width | height | Young's Modu |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 mm | 1 mm | 0.5 MPa |
| 2 mm | 1 mm | 1.0 MPa |
| 1 mm | 1.5 mm | 0.6 MPa |
| | width 1 mm 2 mm 1 mm | width height 1 mm 1 mm 2 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1.5 mm |



Four random initial designs

The area goes down as the simulation continues

20

of iterations

10

25

30

- [5] Y. Wang et al. (2017). *Sci. Adv.* 3(3):e1602076.
- [8] G. Chen et al. (2019). *Matter* 1(1):205-218.

Stanford ENGINEERING Civil & Environmental Engineering





[6] L. Jin et al. (2018). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115(9):1986-1991. [7] W. Wang et al. (2021). *Nat. Electron.* 4(2):143-150.

Stanford

University

Stanford DOERR School of Sustainability